We hear many opinions about whether our community should retain its title of Naturalistic Decision Making, or should shift to Macrocognition, or should consider some other description altogether. After many discussions, we want to recommend a compromise—actually, more than a compromise. A blending of these viewpoints.

For over a quarter of a century we have used the label Naturalistic Decision Making (NDM) to name our community and title our conferences (12 conferences, to date, with the 13th planned for June 2017 in Bath, England). By now, the NDM brand has become somewhat familiar.

What is central to NDM is our curiosity about messy contextual features which are difficult to quantify and impossible to control, but which are essential to understanding how people actually make decisions. These features include vague goals, organizational constraints, uncertainty, team coordination, and especially experience, often decades of experience.

But during those 25 years, we have expanded our interests and perspective. We are now interested in more than decision making. We also study sensemaking, and problem detection, and planning with wicked problems.

Sensemaking, coordinating, managing uncertainty, and other cognitive functions that allow people to cope with complexity are covered by the term Macrocognition. The distinction with microcognition has been elaborated in a number of journal publications and book chapters. It originated in the writings of a number of researchers, who were working independently. The distinction has a history in the literature on the philosophy of cognitive psychology and applied cognitive psychology. Many of the macrocognitive processes do not appear in classic textbooks on microcognition, but these processes, illustrated below, are very important to the professionals that...
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(continued) we serve. And our study of macrocognition embraces the same messy contextual factors that originally sparked NDM research.

So we have an opportunity to take advantage of both terms, Naturalistic Decision Making and Macrocognition. NDM is a community of practice, and we think it makes sense to continue to be known as the NDM community. We are NDM researchers.

By retaining the NDM term, we are reflecting our origins — our initial discovery that we could study decision making in natural settings and make unique and important contributions. And now we are broadening that naturalistic inquiry to include so many other phenomena.

Many of the people we interact with, such as clients, sponsors, students, and collaborators, appreciate the importance of decision making and the need to explore ways to improve decision making. In contrast, a term like macrocognition is not immediately understandable: The term cognition can come off as jargon, and adding macro doesn’t help, although it seems that the European wing of the NDM movement is more comfortable with the macrocognition label than the U.S. wing. To further add to the confusion, the term Naturalistic Decision Making is sometimes interpreted as a cognitive process or model rather than a community of researchers.

It is unlikely that we can ever eliminate all confusion, but at least we can try to be more clear among ourselves. We want to reflect the broader macrocognitive framework we have evolved into. Our intent is to understand these processes more fully and find ways to help people carry them out more successfully. We have made important discoveries about sensemaking, uncertainty management, team coordination, problem detection, and the development of expertise, as well as decision making.

Therefore, we assert that the NDM community studies macrocognitive processes. This formulation blends both traditions. We welcome your comments — please send them to any or all of us: gary@macrocognition.com, rhoffman@ihmc.us, lmilitello@applieddecisionscience.com.
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